

REMARKS

Claim 30 was objected to on the grounds that it is "unreadable." However, Applicants believe the Examiner may be referring to the wrong claim. Claim 30, which depends from claim 29, sets forth "wherein the temporal parameters include frame rate." Given that there is antecedent basis for temporal parameters, Applicants do not understand why the claim is unreadable.

Written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in this patent is being submitted herewith. In addition, new Declarations from Messrs. Washino and Schwab are being submitted, each of which lists all inventors' addresses, citizenship, and so forth.

Claim 70 has been amended to change "the camera" to "at least one video camera", thereby removing the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claims 62 and 70 have been amended to change "circuitry" to "hardware or software."

Although claims 1-7 and 17-18 were rejected as being based upon a defective reissue under 35 U.S.C. §251, Applicants' undersigned representative confirmed with the Examiner that this situation did not apply to claims 1-7. Claims 17 and 18 have been amended to overcome this rejection based upon the Examiner's suggestion that independent claim 17 "refers to two forms of high-capacity storage."

For clarification purposes, new claims 16-20 were filed with the present reissue application; claims 21-56 were added by Preliminary Amendment in December 2001; claims 57-61 were added by Preliminary Amendment in January 2002; and claims 62-74 were added by Preliminary Amendment in June 2002.

Based upon the foregoing amendments and comments, Applicants believe all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Questions regarding this application may be directed to the undersigned attorney by telephone, facsimile or electronic mail.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

John G. Posa, Reg. No. 37,424
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle et al.
PO Box 7021
Troy, MI 48007-7021
(734) 913-9300 FAX (734) 913-6007

Date: June 30, 2005

Email: jposa@patlaw.com